

PROBING THE HARD X-RAY PROPERTIES OF HIGH-REDSHIFT RADIO-QUIET QUASARS WITH ASCA

C. VIGNALI¹, A. COMASTRI², M. CAPPI^{3,4}, G.G.C. PALUMBO^{1,3}, M. MATSUOKA⁴, AND H. KUBO⁴

Accepted for publication in The Astrophysical Journal

ABSTRACT

This paper reports the X-ray spectral analysis of 5 high-redshift ($z \geq 2$) radio-quiet quasars observed by ASCA. A simple power law continuum plus cold Galactic absorption model well fits all the spectra (typically between ~ 2 –30 keV in the sources frame). Neither the X-ray spectral hardening, attributed to a reflection component and observed in Seyfert galaxies, nor the excess absorption previously detected in high-redshift radio-loud quasars, have been revealed. Only a marginal evidence of a neutral or mildly ionized FeK α line is found in one of the quasars. The average spectral slope in the observed 0.7–10 keV energy range, $\langle \Gamma \rangle = 1.67 \pm 0.11$ (dispersion $\sigma \sim 0.07$), appears to be flatter than that of low- z radio-quiet quasars ($\Gamma \simeq 1.9$ –2) and slightly steeper, but consistent with $\Gamma = 1.61 \pm 0.04$ ($\sigma \sim 0.10$) of high- z radio-loud quasars.

Subject headings: galaxies: active – quasars: general – radiation mechanism: nonthermal – X-rays: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

Quasars are well known to be powerful sources over almost the entire electromagnetic spectrum. In particular, spectra in the X-ray band play a remarkable role in providing vital clues to the understanding of their physical properties. Quasars, in fact, emit a noticeable fraction of their bolometric luminosity in this energy range and their X-ray emission appears to be closely associated with the activity induced by the advocated central compact object, most likely a massive black hole (see Mushotzky, Done & Pounds 1993 for a review). X-ray spectra thus represent a powerful diagnostic probe of both the quasar environment and the radiation emission mechanism.

So far, X-ray observations have been mainly carried out for low-redshift quasars, both radio-quiet (RQQs) and radio-loud (RLQs). Spectral studies of low- z RQQs in the medium energy range have been performed both by EXOSAT in the 0.1–10 keV band (Comastri et al. 1992; Lawson et al. 1992) and Ginga in the 2–20 keV band (Williams et al. 1992). For RQQs the average 2–10 keV EXOSAT spectrum is similar to the Ginga one ($\langle \Gamma \rangle = 1.90 \pm 0.11$ and $\langle \Gamma \rangle = 2.03 \pm 0.16$, respectively), with the RLQs showing a flatter X-ray spectral slope. It must be noted, however, that somewhat different spectral results have been obtained by Lawson and Turner (1997) through a re-analysis of 50 archival quasars observed by Ginga. They found $\langle \Gamma \rangle_{\text{RQ}} \sim \langle \Gamma \rangle_{\text{RL}} \sim 1.7$ –1.8 for their full sample. This result may be partly explained if some RQQs of their sample have a more complex spectrum than a simple power law. For instance, the presence of a warm absorber and/or a reflection component could affect, if neglected (or if not properly modeled), the measure of the spectral slope.

In the softer energy range RQQs spectral slopes spread from $\langle \Gamma \rangle \simeq 2$ in the 0.2–3.5 keV Einstein IPC band

(Wilkes & Elvis 1987; Canizares & White 1989) to $\langle \Gamma \rangle \sim 2.5$ (and a wider dispersion of the indices) in the 0.1–2.4 keV ROSAT PSPC energy range (Brinkmann et al. 1992; Brunner et al. 1992; Laor et al. 1997). This spectral slope steepening towards softer energies has been interpreted as an additional soft component (Comastri et al. 1992; Mushotzky et al. 1993), similar to what has been found in Seyfert 1 galaxies (Turner & Pounds 1989). Low- z RLQs are found to have systematically flatter spectral indices than RQQs over the entire X-ray range, by $\Delta\Gamma \sim 0.3$ in the 2–10 keV band (e.g. with EXOSAT, Lawson et al. 1992; with Ginga, Williams et al. 1992; with ASCA, Reeves et al. 1997) and $\Delta\Gamma \sim 0.5$ in the Einstein IPC energy range (Kriss & Canizares 1985; Wilkes & Elvis 1987). These spectral properties may suggest a different emission mechanism or an enhanced X-ray emission in RLQs perhaps due to beaming effects (Wilkes & Elvis 1987).

The results obtained so far for low- z RQQs indicate that their 2–10 keV power law spectral slopes are similar to those of low luminosity Seyfert 1 galaxies ($\Gamma \simeq 1.9$ –2.0, Nandra & Pounds 1994; Nandra et al. 1997a). While the reflection “hump”, i.e. the typical sign of reprocessing gas, has not been clearly stated for RQQs, some evidence of ionized reprocessor (e.g. a ionized iron line) has been observed by ASCA in some RQQs, e.g. PG 1116+215 (Nandra et al. 1996) and E 1821+643 (Kii et al. 1991; Yamashita et al. 1997), in the 10^{45} – 10^{46} erg s^{−1} luminosity range. For instance, Nandra et al. (1997b) noticed that for high-luminosity quasars the iron line profile and intensity appear to be strongly luminosity-dependent. This result supports the inverse correlation between luminosity and Fe K emission line equivalent width previously reported by Iwasawa & Taniguchi (1993).

On the other hand, the X-ray spectral properties of high- z RQQs are poorly known, in contrast with the more widely studied high- z RLQs (Elvis et al. 1994; Siebert et al. 1996;

¹Dipartimento di Astronomia, Università di Bologna, via Zamboni 33, I-40126 Bologna, Italy

²Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, via Zamboni 33, I-40126 Bologna, Italy

³Istituto per le Tecnologie e Studio delle Radiazioni Extraterrestri, ITeSRE/CNR, via Gobetti 101, I-40129 Bologna, Italy

⁴The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), 2-1, Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-01, Japan

Cappi et al. 1997). This is due to the fact that in the X-ray band RLQs are about a factor 3 brighter than RQQs (Zamorani et al. 1981; Worrall et al. 1987). ROSAT observations indicate X-ray spectral slopes of high- z RQQs to be steeper than those of RLQs (Bechtold et al. 1994). Such X-ray spectra, however, are very poorly constrained. In a sample of 286 radio-quiet objects Fiore et al. (1998) found no clear indication of absorption. However most spectral properties have been derived from “color” techniques and there are only 12 RQQs with $z > 2.2$ in their sample.

Since RQQs represent the majority (~ 80 – 90% , Osterbrock 1991) of the quasar population, a better knowledge of their X-ray spectral properties is of paramount importance in understanding their contribution to the X-ray background (XRB). ROSAT surveys (Hasinger et al. 1993) and theoretical models (Comastri et al. 1995) suggest the XRB may be accounted for by a large population of high-luminosity ($L_X \simeq 10^{44}$ erg s $^{-1}$), high-redshift absorbed ($N_H \simeq 10^{22}$ – 10^{23} cm $^{-2}$) RQQs, with spectral slopes similar to the low- z population ones.

Given the data and hypothesis listed above, an ASCA program has been started in order to study spectral and evolutionary properties of a sample of high-redshift RQQs. The aim of this program was to obtain **a)** reliable measurements of RQQs X-ray spectra from which to deduce X-ray slopes and absorption at high-redshift, **b)** compare these data with the available low- z RQQs and high- z RLQs data and **c)** search for complex structures, i.e. $K\alpha$ emission line and reflection component. $H_0 = 50$ km s $^{-1}$ Mpc $^{-1}$ and $q_0 = 0.5$ are assumed throughout.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

2.1. The Sample

In this paper, results obtained from ASCA observations of a sample of 8 high-redshift ($z \geq 1.9$) quasars are presented (see Table 1). The sample was obtained cross-correlating the Véron-Véron quasars catalogue (Véron-Cetty and Véron 1996) with the ROSAT All-Sky Survey catalogue of X-ray sources (Voges et al. 1996). All the selected quasars have a ROSAT count rate $\gtrsim 0.04$ counts s $^{-1}$. Even if not complete and probably biased toward less absorbed sources, this sample is adequate for this study as the main scope is to obtain, for the first time, a reliable measurement of the X-ray spectral properties of a sample of high- z RQQs chosen amongst the brightest and highest redshift sources. 5 clear detections and 3 upper limits have been obtained so far with the ASCA X-ray telescope and 5 more objects are approved for observation in the ongoing AO6. For three objects also ROSAT PSPC archival data have been analyzed.

2.2. ASCA Data Reduction

The high- z RQQs were observed by the ASCA satellite (Tanaka, Inoue & Holt 1994) during the AO4 and AO5 phases, according to the observation log shown in Table 2. The focal plane instruments consist of two solid-state imaging spectrometers (SIS, Gendreau 1995) and two gas scintillation imaging spectrometers (GIS, Makishima et al. 1996), which provide a good spectral resolution

(nominal FWHM $\sim 2\%$ and $\sim 8\%$ at 5.9 keV, respectively, when the satellite was launched) and broad band (~ 0.5 – 10 keV) capabilities. The observations were performed in FAINT mode and then corrected for dark frame error and echo uncertainties (Otani & Dotani 1994). The data were screened with the version 1.3 of the XSELECT package, in order to include only data collected when: the spacecraft was outside the South Atlantic Anomaly; the radiation belt monitor was less than 100 counts s $^{-1}$; the magnetic cut-off rigidity was greater than 6 GeV c $^{-1}$ for SIS and 4 GeV c $^{-1}$ for GIS; the elevation angle above the Earth limb greater than 5° and the angle above the Sun-illuminated Earth limb greater than 15° . “Hot” and flickering pixels were removed from the SIS using the standard algorithm. SIS grades 0, 2, 3 and 4 were considered in the data reduction. For the GIS data the recently available method of rejecting “hard particle flares” using the so-called HO2 count rate, was employed, as well as the standard “rise-time” criteria. Source counts were extracted from circles of about $6'$ radius for GIS and $3'$ for SIS centered on the source, and background spectra were extracted from source-free regions from the same CCD chip for SIS and for the same FOV for GIS. Relevant data are reported in Table 2. For the SIS datasets, appropriate detector redistribution matrices were generated using the ftool sismrg (v1.1); for the GIS data the 1995 response matrices gis2v4.0.rmf and gis3v4.0.rmf were used. Ancillary response files were created for all detectors with the ftool ascaarf (v2.72). Spectral analysis has been carried out with version 10.0 of the XSPEC program (Arnaud 1996).

2.3. ASCA Spectral Analysis and Main Results

GIS and SIS spectra were binned with more than 20 counts per bin in order to apply χ^2 statistics. The statistical quality of the GIS data for 1101–264 does not allow to extract a useful spectrum for this object. For all the other quasars a good agreement, within the errors, has been found between SIS and GIS data. The spectral analysis has been carried out by simultaneously fitting SIS and GIS spectra, allowing the relative normalizations free to vary in order to account for residual discrepancies in the absolute flux calibration. Throughout the paper, errors are given at 90% confidence level for one interesting parameter ($\Delta\chi^2 = 2.71$, Avni 1976). X-ray spectral fits were performed using a single absorbed power law model plus photoelectric absorption from cold material assuming cosmic abundances (Anders & Grevesse 1989) and cross sections derived from Balucinska-Church & McCammon (1992). At first the column density N_H was fixed to the Galactic value (Dickey & Lockman 1990), then it was left free to vary. The resulting best-fit parameters are showed in Table 3.

Since the sources are rather faint, the effect of uncertainties of the background subtraction on the obtained parameters has been checked by varying its normalization by a $+10\%$ and -10% . The results of this test give confidence that the spectral properties weakly depend on the assumed background normalizations.

The 0.7–10 keV (observer frame) average slope is $\langle \Gamma \rangle = 1.67 \pm 0.11$ (dispersion $\sigma \sim 0.07$) when the absorption is fixed to the Galactic value and $\langle \Gamma \rangle = 1.74 \pm 0.17$ ($\sigma \sim 0.18$) when N_H is left free to vary. The best-fit spectra and

the two-dimensional χ^2 contour plots in the $N_{\text{H}}-\Gamma$ space parameters are shown in Fig. 1. In all cases the Galactic N_{H} is consistent with the data, even if values greater than the Galactic one cannot be completely ruled out. It must be also stressed that the SIS detectors suffer from a calibration problem at low energy, which introduces a systematic error in the determination of N_{H} of the order of $2-3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Capri et al. 1998). In order to minimize the effects of this calibration problem on the spectral analysis we have not considered SIS data for energies $< 0.7 \text{ keV}$.

Spectral fittings with two separate absorbers, one at $z = 0$ fixed at the Galactic value and one at the quasar redshift (with N_{H} free to vary) were then repeated, but no improvement was found. The upper limits on $N_{\text{H,int}}$ are reported in Table 4. We have also searched for the presence of an iron emission line. There is only a very marginal ($\sim 95\%$ according to the F-test) detection of a $\text{FeK}\alpha$ line in the quasar 1101–264, characterized by a “nominal” high equivalent width ($\sim 700 \text{ eV}$ in the source rest-frame). The upper limits on the equivalent widths of the neutral and H-like lines are reported in Table 4. It should be noted that the obtained upper limits on the line equivalent widths may be slightly over-estimated when the line is weak or totally absent (Yaqoob 1998).

Given that all the objects are clustered around $z \simeq 2$, have similar power law shapes and do not show evidence of strong intrinsic absorption, a co-added SIS spectrum has been computed rescaling all the objects at $z = 2$, in order to search for more complex features, especially the high-energy “hump” and the iron emission line. The best-fit model has been achieved with a power law ($\Gamma = 1.70^{+0.04}_{-0.06}$) plus absorption consistent with the average Galactic value (Fig. 2).

We have also simultaneously fitted SIS and GIS spectra of all the objects with the same model, allowing the relative normalizations free to vary, while the individual redshifts have been fixed for each object. The best-fit SIS+GIS slope, $\Gamma = 1.65 \pm 0.04$, agrees very well with that derived from the co-added SIS spectrum.

The data have also been fitted with thermal models (e.g. Bremsstrahlung and Raymond-Smith), which systematically yielded very high temperatures (from ~ 10 to $\sim 40 \text{ keV}$ in the sources frame), thus difficult to be physically interpreted. Given their significantly higher χ^2 compared to a power law fit ($\Delta\chi^2 \sim 3-20$), these models can be generally ruled out even when the abundances are left free to vary. Two-components models are not required.

Since the observed energy range of $0.7-10 \text{ keV}$ corresponds to a range of $\sim 2-30 \text{ keV}$ in the sources frame, it is well adequate to search for the typical hardening of the X-ray spectral slope due to the reflection component, as observed in Seyfert galaxies (Nandra & Pounds 1994). This search has been done by performing separate spectral fits in the $0.7-3 \text{ keV}$ and $3-10 \text{ keV}$ observed energy ranges for all objects. In no case the resulting high-energy slope was significantly flatter than the low-energy one, although the upper limits on the possible flattening are not particularly strong ($\Delta\Gamma < 0.2$). In order to improve on this result, we have then applied a reflection component to both the co-added SIS spectrum and to the SIS+GIS one: for the “average” reflection parameter R (defined as the ratio of

the reflected to the direct component) values of $1.25^{+1.53}_{-0.89}$ and $0.76^{+0.85}_{-0.58}$ have been found, respectively, with no significant improvement in the fit. As a last test, the power law slope was fixed to the typical Seyfert 1 photon index, $\Gamma \sim 1.9$ (Nandra & Pounds 1994). The resulting best-fit reflection parameter is $R = 2.30^{+0.49}_{-0.46}$ for the SIS co-added spectrum and $R = 2.49^{+0.40}_{-0.37}$ for the SIS+GIS spectrum, but the χ^2 value is significantly higher ($\Delta\chi^2 \simeq 8$) than that obtained without any reflection component. The lack of significant reflection is also corroborated by the iron line upper limits, i.e. the value for R obtained with a power law slope fixed at $\Gamma = 1.9$, as seen in Seyfert 1 galaxies, is high if compared to the tight upper limit obtained for the equivalent width of the iron emission line, which is of the order of 100 eV (source frame).

3. ROSAT PSPC RESULTS

The available archival ROSAT PSPC pointed observations for three quasars have been analyzed and the results are reported in Table 5. A factor ~ 2 flux variability in a time interval of about 30 months (observer frame) has been revealed for 1101–264 between ROSAT and ASCA observations, but no spectral variability has been detected. The PSPC spectra are well described by a power law model without excess absorption, thus confirming ASCA results. For 1101–264 the ROSAT and ASCA slopes are consistent with each other, while for the other two objects the ROSAT slope is significantly steeper (Fig. 3). This result may possibly be ascribed in part to the large off-axis angle at which 0040+0034 has been observed or possibly to a somewhat softer component which went unrevealed by ASCA (e.g. a thermal component with $kT \sim 130-350 \text{ eV}$ rest-frame) or even to remaining cross-calibration uncertainties.

4. DISCUSSION

Before drawing firm conclusions from the following arguments one should bear in mind that most of the results discussed from both the present work and published papers are based on small numbers of objects, poor statistics and no sample considered is anywhere near a statistically complete sample. Having said this, it is nevertheless reasonable to attempt a physical interpretation of the present results.

From the present ASCA observations of high-redshift RQQs there is no evidence of any intrinsic absorption by neutral material. It must be noted, however, that our sample suffers from its selection criteria, which may produce a bias towards mildly or not-absorbed sources (see § 2.1). If confirmed by future observations, this result would support a scenario in which RLQs and RQQs evolve differently. In fact, Elvis et al. (1994) have shown that low-energy cutoffs due to X-ray absorption are common in ROSAT spectra of high- z RLQs, with typical column densities of the order of $10^{21}-10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, depending on the location of the absorber. A more complete analysis has been carried out by Capri et al. (1997) on ASCA and ROSAT data. Their results favour, at least in 2 of the 6 absorbed objects (out of 9), an intrinsic origin for the absorption and a strong clustering of the continuum spectral slopes in the $\sim 1-40 \text{ keV}$ (quasar-frame) range around $\Gamma \sim$

1.5–1.6 with a small dispersion. A recent study has been carried out by Fiore et al. (1998) on a much larger sample of about 500 quasars ($0.1 < z < 4.1$) found in the WGA (White, Giommi & Angelini 1994) and ROSAT SRC catalogues of pointed ROSAT PSPC observations (Voges et al. 1994). The results confirm that low-energy absorption is more common (and likely exclusive) in RLQs rather than in RQQs (see also Laor et al. 1997; Yuan et al. 1998). This rules out intervening systems as the principle cause of the excess absorption, since this would affect both the RLQs and the RQQs X-ray spectra, which is not the case. Moreover, recent ASCA results (Reeves et al. 1997) indicate that the column density itself is strongly correlated with redshift (i.e. more distant radio-loud objects suffer from greater absorption). These evidences imply an evolution with cosmic time of the absorbers in RLQs (Elvis 1996).

The most important result from the present analysis is that all quasars have similar slopes, with an average value of $\langle \Gamma \rangle = 1.67 \pm 0.11$ and a small dispersion ($\sigma \sim 0.07$). The source frame energy band of the present sample ($\sim 2 - 33$ keV) compares well with Lawson and Turner's ($\sim 2 - 35$ keV), and is slightly harder than Reeves et al.'s (1997) sample ($\sim 0.5 - 23$ keV). The spectral results derived from the present sample seem to indicate flatter X-ray spectra for high- z RQQs than for low- z objects (Reeves et al. 1997; Lawson & Turner 1997), as either we are sampling the RQQs lower tail of the photon indices distribution (see Fig. 4) or the primary emission mechanism is really different at high redshift, thus suggesting an evolutionary scenario for the RQQs population.

Although no significant correlation for the quasars parameters ($\Gamma - L_X - z$) can be derived because of poor statistics and the small number of objects of the present sample, it is however remarkable that the present analysis extends considerably the redshift range of radio-quiet quasars with respect to the past (Fig. 5).

The average slope of the present sample, $\langle \Gamma \rangle = 1.67$, is steeper than that of the XRB, $\Gamma \simeq 1.4$ (Marshall et al. 1980; Gendreau et al. 1995). The obtained steep slope, together with the absence of excess absorption, suggests that these quasars do not contribute significantly to the XRB. Larger samples, preferably of hard X-ray selected objects, are needed, however, to test theoretical model such as in Comastri et al. (1995).

ASCA data have not revealed the presence of a reflection component, due to neutral or ionized matter surrounding the source. The general lack of reflection features could in principle be related to the quasars accretion rate: if they are accreting close to their Eddington limit, this can lead to an optically thin disc and no reflection would be seen. Alternatively, the iron in the disc may be completely ionized (Życki & Czerny 1994) and the lighter elements fully

stripped of electrons, which would smooth out any reflection feature. In fact, as suggested by Nandra et al. (1998b) for high-luminosity quasars, the Compton reflection could be seen without suffering strong absorption in the disc. The main consequence consists of a less evident contrast between the reflection component and the underlying continuum, thus the former should appear as a weak reflection “hump” in X-ray spectra. An alternative possibility is that the lack of a clear reflection component and iron line may also indicate either different environmental or geometrical properties for RQQs with respect to Seyfert galaxies. Observations of 1101–264 with AXAF and XMM would clearly help to shed light on the reality of the iron line in this source. A more detailed analysis will be possible with the larger RQQs sample soon available.

5. CONCLUSIONS

From the X-ray spectral analysis of 5 high-redshift RQQs observed with the ASCA satellite the following main results have been derived:

- the high-luminosity ($L_X \approx 10^{46}$ erg s $^{-1}$) objects of the present sample do not show imprints of cold matter either in transmission (absorption) or in reflection (Fe K α emission line and Compton “hump”);
- the different spectral slopes between high- z RQQs and low- z RQQs may suggest a different emission mechanism, but a much more improved statistics is required before drawing firm conclusions;
- the average X-ray spectrum of the present high- z RQQs sample ($\langle \Gamma \rangle = 1.67 \pm 0.11$ in the $\sim 2-30$ keV source frame energy range) is consistent with the high- z RLQs one;
- it is possible that RQQs and RLQs follow a different evolution, i.e. they have different environments at early epochs.

We thank the ASCA team who operate the satellite and maintain the software and database. This work has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Caltech, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, of data obtained through the High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research (HEASARC) Center Online Service, provided by the Goddard Space Flight Center, and of the Simbad database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. Financial support from Italian Space Agency under the contract ARS-96-70 and MURST is acknowledged by CV, AC, MC and GGCP. The authors would also like to thank G. Zamorani for scientific advices and a careful reading of the manuscript and the anonymous referee for his/her comments.

REFERENCES

- Anders, E., & Grevesse, N. 1989, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 53, 197
- Arnaud, K. A. 1996, in *ASP Conf. Ser.*, Vol. 101, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V*, ed. G. Jacoby & J. Barnes (San Francisco: ASP), 17
- Avni, Y. 1976, *ApJ*, 210, 642
- Balucinska-Church, M., & McCammon, D. 1992, *ApJ*, 400, 699
- Bechtold, J., et al. 1994, *AJ*, 108, 759
- Brinkmann, W. 1992, in *MPE Report 235, X-ray Emission from AGN and the Cosmic X-ray Background*, ed. W. Brinkmann, & J. Trümper (MPE: Garching), 143
- Brunner, H., Friedrich, P., Zimmermann, H.-U., & Staubert, R. 1992, in *MPE Report 235, X-ray Emission from AGN and the Cosmic X-ray Background*, ed. W. Brinkmann, & J. Trümper (MPE: Garching), 198
- Canizares, C. R., & White, J. L. 1989, *ApJ*, 339, 27
- Capri, M., Matsuoka, M., Comastri, A., Brinkmann, W., Elvis, M., Palumbo, G. G. C., & Vignali, C. 1997, *ApJ*, 478, 492

- Cappi, M., Matsuoka, M., Otani, C., & Leighly, K. 1998, *PASJ*, 50, 213
- Comastri, A., Setti, G., Zamorani, G., Elvis, M., Giommi, P., Wilkes, B. J., & McDowell, J. C. 1992, *ApJ*, 384, 62
- Comastri, A., Setti, G., Zamorani, G., & Hasinger, G. 1995, *A&A*, 296, 1
- Dickey, J. M., & Lockman, F. J. 1990, *ARA&A*, 28, 215
- Elvis, M., Fiore, F., Wilkes, B. J., McDowell, J. C., & Bechtold, J. 1994, *ApJ*, 422, 60
- Elvis, M. 1996, in *MPE Report 263, Röntgenstrahlung from the Universe*, ed. H.U. Zimmermann, J. Trümper, & H. Yorke (MPE: Garching), 409
- Fiore, F., Elvis, M., Giommi, P., & Padovani, P. 1998, *ApJ*, 492, 79
- Gendreau, K. C. 1995, PhD Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- Gendreau, K. C., et al. 1995, *PASJ*, 47, L5
- Hasinger, G., Burg, R., Giacconi, R., Hartner, G., Schmidt, M., Trümper, J., & Zamorani, G. 1993, *A&A*, 275, 1
- Iwasawa, K., & Taniguchi, Y. 1993, *ApJ*, 413, L15
- Kii, T., et al. 1991, *ApJ*, 367, 455
- Kriss, G. A., & Canizares, C. R. 1985, *ApJ*, 297, 177
- Laor, A., Fiore, F., Elvis, M., Wilkes, B. J., & McDowell, J. C. 1997, *ApJ*, 477, 93
- Lawson, A. J., Turner, M. J. L., Williams, O. R., Stewart, G. C., & Saxton, R. D. 1992, *MNRAS*, 259, 743
- Lawson, A. J., & Turner, M. J. L. 1997, *MNRAS*, 288, 920
- Makishima, K., et al. 1996, *PASJ*, 48, 171
- Marshall, F. E., Boldt, E. A., Holt, S. S., Miller, R. B., Mushotzky, R. F., Rose, L. A., Rothschild, R. E., & Serlemitsos, P. J. 1980, *ApJ*, 235, 4
- Mushotzky, R. F., Done, C., & Pounds, K. A. 1993, *ARA&A*, 31, 717
- Nandra, K., & Pounds, K. A. 1994, *MNRAS*, 268, 405
- Nandra, K., George, I. M., Turner, T. J., & Fukazawa, Y. 1996, *ApJ*, 464, 165
- Nandra, K., George, I. M., Mushotzky, R. F., Turner, T. J., & Yaqoob, T. 1997a, *ApJ*, 477, 602
- Nandra, K., George, I. M., Mushotzky, R. F., Turner, T. J., & Yaqoob, T. 1997b, *ApJ*, 488, L91
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1991, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 54, 579
- Otani, C., & Dotani, T. 1994, *ASCA Newsl.*, 2, 25
- Reeves, J. N., Turner, M. J. L., Ohashi, T., & Kii, T. 1997, *MNRAS*, 292, 468
- Siebert, J., Matsuoka, M., Brinkmann, W., Cappi, M., Mihara, T., & Takahashi, T. 1996, *A&A*, 307, 8
- Tanaka, Y., Inoue, H., & Holt, S. S. 1994, *PASJ*, 46, L37
- Turner, T. J., & Pounds, K. A. 1989, *MNRAS*, 240, 833
- Véron-Cetty, M. P., & Véron, P. 1996, *ESO Sci. Rep.*, 17, 1
- Voges, W., et al. 1996, *IAU Circ.*, 6420, 2
- Voges, W., Englhauser, J., Gruber, R., Haberl, F., Kürster, M., Pietsch, W., & Zimmermann, H. U. 1994, *MPE ROSAT News*, 32
- White, N. E., Giommi, P., & Angelini, L. 1994, *BAAS*, 185, 41.11
- Wilkes, B. J., & Elvis, M. 1987, *ApJ*, 323, 243
- Williams, O. R., et al. 1992, *ApJ*, 389, 157
- Worrall, D. M., Tanambaum, H., Giommi, P., & Zamorani, G. 1987, *ApJ*, 313, 596
- Yamashita, A., Matsumoto, C., Ishida, M., Inoue, H., Kii, T., Makishima, K., Takahashi, T., & Tashiro, M. 1997, *ApJ*, 486, 763
- Yaqoob, T. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 893
- Yuan, W., Brinkmann, W., Siebert, J., & Voges, W. 1998, *A&A*, 330, 108
- Zamorani, G., et al. 1981, *ApJ*, 245, 357
- Życki, P. T., & Czerny, B. 1994, *MNRAS*, 266, 653

Fig. 1.— Best-fit spectra and contour plots of the ASCA data, fitted with a single power law model. The contours represent the 68, 90 and 99% confidence contours for the parameters $N_{\text{H}} - \Gamma$ for SIS (solid line) and GIS (dashed line). The left margin of the figure represents the Galactic absorption (from Dickey & Lockman 1990).

Fig. 2.— Plot of the co-added spectrum obtained re-scaling the energy scale of each quasar to $z = 2$ (left panel). 68, 90 and 99% confidence contours in the $N_{\text{H}} - \Gamma$ plane obtained from the same spectrum (right panel).

Fig. 3.— Comparison of ASCA and ROSAT 68, 90 and 99% $\Gamma - N_{\text{H}}$ confidence contours for 0040+0034 and 1255+3536. The dashed line represents the error on the Galactic absorption.

Fig. 4.— A histogram distribution of RQQs X-ray photon indices for the present sample (shaded area) compared to Lawson & Turner 1997 Ginga sample, Reeves et al. 1997 ASCA sample and EXOSAT one (Comastri et al. 1992, Lawson et al. 1992). When the same object is present both in Ginga and ASCA samples, the best-fit (lower χ^2) results have been chosen.

Fig. 5.— Plot of redshift versus photon index for the present sample, Reeves et al. 1997 ASCA RQQs subsample, for the Ginga one by Lawson & Turner 1997 and for EXOSAT objects (Lawson et al. 1992, Comastri et al. 1992). When the same object is present both in Ginga and ASCA samples, the best-fit (lower χ^2) results have been chosen.

TABLE 1
THE RADIO-QUIET QUASAR SAMPLE.

Object	R.A. (J2000)	Decl. (J2000)	z	$N_{\text{Hgal}}^{\text{a}}$	m_V	R_L^{b}
0040+0034 (UM 269)	00 ^h 43 ^m 19.7 ^s	+00°51′16″	2.00	2.45	18.0	< 0.67
0300−4342 (C 25.36)	03 01 55.7	−43 30 40	2.30	1.83	19.2	< 1.15
1101−264 (PG 1101−264)	11 03 25.2	−26 45 15.2	2.15	5.68	16.0	< −0.22
1255+3536 (WEE 83)	12 58 09	+35 19 48	2.04	1.22	20.6	< 1.71
1352−2242 (CTS 327)	13 55 43.4	−22 57 07	2.00	5.88	18.2	< 0.72
1559+089	16 02 22.5	+08 45 36	2.27	3.84	16.7	< 1.00
1725+503	17 26 57.5	+50 15 48	2.10	2.58	20.4	< 1.63
1726+504	17 27 38.9	+50 26 06	1.90	2.58	19.9	< 1.43

^aIn units of 10^{20} cm^{-2} , Dickey & Lockman 1990

^bRadio loudness, defined as $R_L = \text{Log} (f_{5\text{GHz}}/f_V)$

TABLE 2
ASCA OBSERVATION LOG.

Object	Date	Exposure ^a (ks)		Count Rate ^a ($\times 10^{-2} \text{ c s}^{-1}$)	
		GIS	SIS	GIS	SIS
0040+0034	1997 Jul 13	36.0	29.9	3.25	3.82
0300−4342	1997 Jan 23	37.5	37.8	1.17	1.31
1101−264	1996 Jun 16	19.7	17.4	0.56	0.98
1255+3536	1997 Jun 23	36.7	36.5	2.00	3.44
1352−2242	1997 Feb 02	31.6	31.1	1.50	1.68
1559+089	1996 Aug 23	18.7	17.8	0.17	0.22
1725+503	1995 Mar 04	39.0	41.2	0.33	0.45
1726+504	1995 Mar 04	39.0	41.2	0.27	0.36

NOTE.—All the observations were carried out in 1-CCD mode, except for 1725+503 and 1726+504 (2-CCD mode)

^aThe reported values for the GIS and SIS are averaged over the detectors (GIS2 with GIS3 and SIS0 with SIS1)

TABLE 3
SIS + GIS X-RAY SPECTRAL FITS.

Object	N_{H} (10^{20} cm^{-2})	Γ	Refl.	χ^2/dof	$F_{2-10 \text{ keV}}^{\text{a}}$	$L_{2-10 \text{ keV}}^{\text{b}}$
0040+0034	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	$1.66^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$		240/237	15	2.7
	< 8.05	$1.67^{+0.12}_{-0.07}$		239/236		
	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	$1.71^{+0.18}_{-0.14}$	< 2.34	239/236		
	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	1.90 fr.	$2.34^{+0.63}_{-0.58}$	241/237		
0300-4342	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	$1.64^{+0.12}_{-0.15}$		168/148	5.0	1.2
	< 5.66	$1.65^{+0.12}_{-0.16}$		168/147		
	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	$1.96^{+0.19}_{-0.33}$	$3.62^{+6.38}_{-2.90}$	164/147		
	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	1.90 fr.	$3.04^{+1.49}_{-1.27}$	164/148		
1101-264	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	$1.79^{+0.26}_{-0.25}$		29.3/23	2.9	0.7
	< 45.5	$2.06^{+0.73}_{-0.45}$		27.9/22		
	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	$1.70^{+1.69}_{-0.23}$	unc.	29.2/22		
	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	1.90 fr.	< 3.61	29.6/23		
1255+3536	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	$1.62^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$		187/198	11	2.1
	< 6.83	$1.62^{+0.12}_{-0.07}$		187/197		
	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	$1.56^{+0.16}_{-0.08}$	< 1.07	187/197		
	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	1.90 fr.	$2.65^{+0.68}_{-0.63}$	196/198		
1352-2242	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	1.66 ± 0.12		145/147	6.7	1.1
	< 11.2	1.67 ± 0.12		145/146		
	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	$1.84^{+0.80}_{-0.28}$	< 23	144/146		
	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	1.90 fr.	$2.43^{+1.18}_{-1.02}$	144/146		
1559+089	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	1.8			< 0.6	< 0.16
1725+503	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	1.8			< 1.2	< 0.28
1726+504	$\equiv N_{\text{H gal}}$	1.8			< 1	< 0.19

^aAbsorbed flux in the 2-10 (observer frame) energy range in units of $10^{-13} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$

^bIntrinsic 2-10 keV (quasar frame) luminosity in units of $10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$

NOTE.—Intervals are at 90% confidence for one interesting parameter ($\Delta\chi^2 = 2.71$). For the last three quasars of the list the data have been obtained as 3σ upper limits and assuming Galactic absorption and $\Gamma = 1.8$

TABLE 4
UPPER LIMITS ON THE INTRINSIC COLUMN DENSITY AND IRON LINE MEASUREMENTS

Object	$N_{\text{H int}}$ (10^{21} cm^{-2})	$\text{EW}_{6.4 \text{ keV}}^{\text{a}}$	$\text{EW}_{6.97 \text{ keV}}^{\text{b}}$
0040+0034	< 8.75	< 90	< 96
0300-4342	< 6.66	< 87	< 163
1101-264	< 49.6	692^{+563}_{-562}	< 786
1255+3536	< 7.79	< 161	< 157
1352-2242	< 7.53	< 263	< 269

^aThe 90% limit (in units of eV) on the equivalent width of the neutral Fe K line measured in the source rest-frame, with $\sigma = 0 \text{ eV}$ and $E = 6.4 \text{ keV}$

^bSame as above, but now for an ionized H-like iron line ($E = 6.97 \text{ keV}$)

TABLE 5
POWER LAW SPECTRAL FITS WITH ARCHIVAL ROSAT PSPC DATA.

Object	Count Rate	Exposure (ks)	N_{H} (10^{20} cm^{-2})	Γ	χ^2/dof	$F_{0.1-2\text{keV}}^{\text{a}}$
0040+0034 [†]	0.135	10.7	$\equiv N_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{gal}}}$ < 8.15	2.80 ± 0.16 $2.80^{+0.35}_{-0.16}$	8.75/6 8.75/5	11
1101-264	0.04	5.12	$\equiv N_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{gal}}}$ < 98.7	$1.95^{+0.35}_{-0.40}$ 1.95 frozen	9.9/8 8.9/8	4.1
1255+3536	0.11	4.06	$\equiv N_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{gal}}}$ < 18.7	$2.09^{+0.13}_{-0.14}$ $2.23^{+0.54}_{-0.27}$	10.8/10 10.6/9	8.6

NOTE.—Intervals are at 90% confidence for one interesting parameter ($\Delta\chi^2 = 2.71$)

[†] The quasar is offset by $\sim 44'$ from the center of ROSAT PSPC observation

^aObserved flux in units of $10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$











